<u>REMARKS</u>

In the Advisory Action of January 15, 2004, the Office suggests that the language of claim 1 is still confusing and that the "[c]laim language should make it clear that the common electrode is on the inner surface of the front plate, pixel electrodes and the TFT's on the rear plate...." While Applicants view the original language as sufficient, a change is proposed substantively in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. The proposed language very clearly delineates the relative position of the common electrode on one plate to the pixel electrodes and TFT's on the other plate, but allows for reversing the orientation of the plates.

Insofar as this is the only remaining issue preventing allowance and in light of this Examiner's explicit suggestion, entry of this change and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: February 24, 2004

Charles F. Wieland III Registration No. 33,096

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620